

Current Status and Future Trends in Pesticide Application Technology

H. Erdal Ozkan

The Ohio State University, Food Agricultural and Biological Engineering Dept.,
590 Woody Hayes Dr., Columbus, OH 43210, USA
e-mail: ozkan.2@osu.edu

ABSTRACT

Pesticides have played a key role in increasing yields. However, improper and excessive use of pesticides has resulted in pollution of the natural resources, and has posed a serious threat to the safety and health of the people applying pesticides. Research is continuing in universities and industry around the world to develop new methods and equipment to address these concerns. Some of the key innovations include: new nozzles and other technologies to reduce spray drift; development of sprayers that can be operated without someone driving the tractor, new methods of packaging pesticides to reduce pesticide waste, and variable rate application of pesticides using global positioning systems based on target characteristics and severity of the pest infestation in the field. A general review of all these and other major developments happening in the world in spray technology is discussed in this paper.

Keywords: Pesticide application, sprayer, drift reduction, nozzles, spraying equipment, USA

1. INTRODUCTION

The world population has been increasing at a much faster rate during the last 50 years. It was approximately 1 billion in 1804. It took 118 years to reach the 2 billion mark, and only 37 years to reach 3 billion in 1959. Since then, almost every 12 to 14 years the world population has been increasing by 1 billion. This trend is likely to continue into the future. Arable land available per person was about 4200 m². This is projected to decrease to 1800 m² per person by the year 2030. Some argue that pesticides are extremely dangerous to human health and the environment, and we should practice pesticide-free crop production. However, reports indicate that anticipated maximum yield levels in production of various crops will be reduced by 20 to 40 percent of the maximum yield depending on the type of crop, soil and weather conditions, and production management strategies (Oeke et al, 1994). Since we are approaching to a point where we can no longer open new areas to agriculture, we have been forced to find ways to increase crop yield without significantly increasing production costs in order to meet the food demands of increasing world population. For the reasons explained above, it is unrealistic to meet the food demands of the world without the help from pesticides.

H.E. Ozkan. "Current Status and Future Trends in Pesticide Application Technology". International Commission of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, Section V. Conference "Technology and Management to Increase the Efficiency in Sustainable Agricultural Systems", Rosario, Argentina, 1-4 September 2009. The authors are solely responsible for the content of this technical presentation. The technical presentation does not necessarily reflect the official position of the International Commission of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering (CIGR), and its printing and distribution does not constitute an endorsement of views which may be expressed. Technical presentations are not subject to the formal peer review process by CIGR editorial committees; therefore, they are not to be presented as refereed publications.

Pesticides have played a key role in production of high quality and abundant food supply in the U.S. and in other developed countries. The amount of pesticide use in the world has been increasing over the years, and is likely to continue to rise in the foreseeable future. However, there are many inefficiencies and health and safety concerns associated with pesticides, especially the ones applied in spray form (Hoppin et al, 2007; Kamel et al., 2007, Lee et al., 2007; Martinez-Haro et al., 2007). One other serious problem associated with liquid spray application is the potential risk of contamination of water resources if pesticides are not applied and handled properly. The point-source pollution of water resources with pesticides usually occur in several ways: spills that may occur during loading of pesticides in the sprayer tank; unrinsed and discarded pesticide containers; rinsing of application equipment at places that are near water resources; and improper disposal of leftover spray mixture in the sprayer tank after a job is completed. Depending on the soil type, topography and weather conditions pesticides may find their way into both above ground and under ground water resources (Barbash and Pesek, 1996; Barbash et al., 1999; Hunt et al., 2006). In addition, most of the pesticides produced in the last decade are more potent and requires applying only a small amount to achieve the desired level of pest control. Unfortunately, because the new pesticides are more potent, they require more precision in application. They also pose a greater risk to the environment and to the applicators in terms of safety and health issues.

It is obvious that sustainable agriculture, good water quality, profitability and increasing health, safety, ecological and sociological concerns all demand much more prudent use of pesticides in the future. Current chemical application methods and equipment have improved application accuracy considerably; however, there are still some remaining inefficiencies and many unanswered questions. Research is continuing in universities and industry to develop new methods and equipment to achieve maximum efficiency in the use of pesticides while minimizing the potential risk to the applicator and the environment.

Unintended and undesirable consequences of pesticides usually result from their improper use. Labels on pesticide containers are good sources of information on proper use and disposal of pesticides. Unfortunately, some of these instructions may be too small to read, or not too practical for applicators to follow. In some cases, the instructions are vague as in the case of proper cleaning and disposal of containers.

Most of the problems associated with pesticides are caused by uninformed and uneducated applicators during the spraying process. These problems can be grouped in four major categories: a) health and safety of applicators, b) pollution of air, c) pollution of water resources, and d) improper disposal of pesticide containers. Following is a review of these major problems and possible technological solutions that may minimize these problems.

2. PROBLEMS and POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS

2.1 Applicator Safety

Applicators need to do a better job with application of pesticides because the pesticides used today are more expensive and potent than pesticides used in the distant past. Both for economic and safety reasons, application of pesticides requires more precision. The margin of error when

H.E. Ozkan. "Current Status and Future Trends in Pesticide Application Technology". International Commission of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, Section V. Conference "Technology and Management to Increase the Efficiency in Sustainable Agricultural Systems", Rosario, Argentina, 1-4 September 2009.

using pesticides is much smaller now than what it was 20 years ago. In other words, an error made today may result in crop damage or reduced pest control while the same error made with chemicals used 20 years ago could have been within the safety margin and still be effective.

An extensive study conducted by scientists (Alawanja, 2008) in the U.S.A. shows that farmers who used agricultural insecticides experienced increased neurological symptoms, even when they were no longer using the products. Data from nearly 90000 farmers in states of North Carolina and Iowa (U.S.A.) linked use of insecticides, including organophosphates and organochlorines, to reports of reoccurring headaches, fatigue, insomnia, dizziness, nausea, hand tremors, numbness and other neurological symptoms (Kamel et al., 2007). Kamel, et al (2006) also found that “individuals who had applied pesticides on more than 400 days in their lifetime had nearly a two-fold greater risk of Parkinson’s disease compared to those who had applied pesticides for fewer days”. The authors, however, cautioned that their “study had some limitations, including the fact that the diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease was self-reported, and the study was too small to provide accurate results for individual pesticides”. Gladen et al. (1998) studied 26793 licensed private pesticide applicators in Iowa and North Carolina. Questionnaires were completed by the applicators and their spouses. The results of this study indicated that “many indirect exposure opportunities exist; for example, 21% of homes are within 50 yards of pesticide mixing areas, 27% of applicators store pesticides in their homes, and 94% of clothing worn for pesticide work is washed in the same machine as other laundry”.

Potential Solutions:

Overall, the first step towards reducing applicator exposure to pesticides is through educating them about the health and safety concerns associated with pesticides. In the U.S.A., federal laws require that any person buying, selling and/or applying restricted use pesticides must carry a pesticide applicator license. They have to attend educational programs, and pass an exam the first time they receive their licenses. This license is valid for three years. When they want to renew their licenses, they are obligated to attend certain number of hours of educational programs. Some of the topics included in these educational programs are: toxicity levels of pesticides, protective clothing and other items one should wear during application of pesticides, calibration of sprayers, best management practices for safe and efficient application of pesticides, proper rinsing and disposal of pesticide containers, and environmental concerns associated with pesticides and how these concerns can be reduced to minimum.

Unfortunately, even the best intentions such as the educational programs in the U.S.A mentioned above are not likely to eliminate the safety and health risks associated with pesticides. Recent research has focused on development of application systems that does not heavily rely on human factor. One of these concepts is establishment of fixed structures in an orchard. Landers et al. (2006) developed such a system to spray pesticides in a high-density orchard. The system, similar to a fixed irrigation system, included two 19mm plastic pipes (1 and 2 m above ground) positioned horizontally (laterals) through the canopy of the apple trees. Each pipe contained a series of nozzles along the length of the pipe. A mixture of pesticide and water is pumped from a trailing application unit, which included a tank carrying water only; a centrifugal pump to pump water from tank to the nozzles; and a system to inject pesticides into the horizontal laterals. With this system, once it is turned on, the applicator does not have to be present at the application site.

H.E. Ozkan. “Current Status and Future Trends in Pesticide Application Technology”. International Commission of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, Section V. Conference “Technology and Management to Increase the Efficiency in Sustainable Agricultural Systems”, Rosario, Argentina, 1-4 September 2009.

One other advantage of such systems is that the spraying can be done any time, including at night, when there will be no bystanders or passersby at the site of application, nearly eliminating any chance of their exposure to pesticides.

Another approach to reducing the applicator's exposure to pesticides is using sprayers that can be controlled from a remote location and utilizing GPS and automated guidance technologies. Remote control can be accomplished by using radio frequencies and a joy stick, creating an electromagnetic field by burying cables under the ground and sensors following the electro magnetic field, or some other electro-mechanical sensors that turn a sprayer traveling on a fixed path on or off at designated positions in the application area.

Some of the recent research has focused on reducing the health risks that applicators face when handling pesticides. Findings of a recent study indicate that most of the applicator exposure to pesticides occurs while transporting, loading, and mixing chemicals. Older large sprayers required the applicators to climb up a stair to empty the pesticide container into the sprayer tank. This has created a huge physical safety risk as well as the risk of spilling the pesticides on the exterior of the sprayers and on the ground. Almost all the new sprayers manufactured by reputable companies today include a small induction tank (Figure 1) to mix pesticides into the sprayer tank. It is designed so that the unit is at a height that would not require the applicator to lift the pesticide container any higher than the waistline height. New sprayers also come with two more tanks which we did not used to see on older sprayers: a small clean water tank to wash hands and other body parts that may be exposed to pesticides accidentally; and a second, larger (100-150 L) tank that carry clean water to rinse interior and exterior of the sprayer in the field, away from the farmstead when the spraying is completed.



Figure 1. Modern sprayers are equipped with a chemical induction tank and several other smaller tanks.

2.2. Pollution of water resources

Pollution of surface and ground water resources by pesticides is a serious concern. Studies that show evidence of water pollution by pesticides are too numerous to mention in this article. In the United States, the US-EPA's National Pesticide Survey found the 10.4% of community wells and 4.2% of rural wells contained detectible levels of one or more pesticides (US-EPA, 1992).

H.E. Ozkan. "Current Status and Future Trends in Pesticide Application Technology". International Commission of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, Section V. Conference "Technology and Management to Increase the Efficiency in Sustainable Agricultural Systems", Rosario, Argentina, 1-4 September 2009.

Lampman (1995) tested wells in mostly agricultural southwestern Ontario in Canada. Results of this study showed that water samples from 35% of the wells showed detectable levels of at least one pesticide.

Usually, it is not the way pesticides are sprayed (non-point source) that causes the problems. There is usually a point-source problem behind a potential pesticide pollution case. Point-source pollution usually results from: a) accidents happening during transportation of pesticides, b) improper pesticide mixing loading sites, c) mixing and loading sites being close to water sources, especially the wells drilled on farm site, d) inadequate and improper storage facilities, e) illegal dumping of leftover spray mixtures in sprayer tanks.

Potential Solutions:

If there is any chance pesticides may be carried, by air or by surface runoff, to nearby water sources crops that require spraying pesticides should not be grown in such areas. These areas, as much as possible, should be set aside for grazing animals. If crops are to be produced, all the precautions should be taken to keep off target deposition of pesticides to minimum.

Pesticide applicators should be encouraged to build a pesticide storage facility on their farms (Figure 2). This facility should also include a concrete mixing/loading area which should have a rinsate collection pit, and several rinsate storage tanks.



Figure 2. Properly designed pesticide storage and mixing loading facility.

Concrete pad should have a gentle slope towards the rinsate collection pit. After mixing/loading of pesticides, and calibration and rinsing of sprayer exterior surfaces are done, the liquid collected in the pit should be pumped to one of the storage tanks. The stored liquid can be transferred to the sprayer tank at a later time when the sprayer tank is refilled again to do more spraying. Detailed information on the design of a farm-scale pesticide storage facility is given in a publication by Veenhuizen and Ozkan (1993).

Use of in-line injection systems (Figure 3) should be encouraged to avoid the potential problem of the applicator emptying the leftover spray mixture in the field after spraying is completed. With these systems, the pesticide and water are kept in separate tanks. Any excess water is left in the water tank and excess pesticide remains in the pesticide tank.




Figure 3. Schematics of an in-line pesticide injection system.

2.3 Too many small, unrinsed, discarded pesticide containers.

Small, unrinsed, and improperly discarded pesticide containers can create both waste disposal problems, and increasing the risk of pesticides finding their ways to water resources. In 1984, pesticide applicators in the U.S. discarded 80 Million containers. It is very likely that some of these containers may not have been properly rinsed before they are discarded. Research indicate that up to 90 ml of pesticide may be left inside a 20L container after normal emptying. This may look like a small amount, but when considering the fact that hundreds of millions of pesticide containers are discarded without proper rinsing, the magnitude of the problems brought by the containers could be rather significant.

Potential Solutions:

Educational efforts and regulatory enforcements must be increased to make sure that the small containers are properly rinsed before discarding. U.S. EPA guidelines recommend “triple rinsing” procedure. However, a more effective approach is power rinsing of the containers using high-pressure liquid jets. Much of the chemical induction hoppers (as shown in Figure 1) have inside a set of nozzles that clean interior of the pesticide containers using high-pressure liquid discharged from the rinse nozzles (Figure 4). We must reduce the number of small pesticide containers discarded haphazardly. Every effort should be made to discourage the pesticide applicators from purchasing pesticides packaged in small containers.

Most commercial applicators in the U.S.A have abandoned using small containers. Instead they buy pesticide packaged in large tanks (up to 1800L), and they return the tank with the remaining unused pesticide inside to the manufacturer of the pesticide. They get credit for the unused portion of the pesticide.



Figure 4. Chemical induction hoppers with pressure rinsing nozzles.

2.4 Pollution of air with pesticides

One of the important functions of the nozzles on a sprayer is to produce droplets to distribute the pesticide applied onto the target as uniformly as possible. Conventional nozzles produce droplets usually ranging from 10 μm to 1000 μm . A portion of the spray material discharged from the nozzles in very small droplets never reach the target. They may travel several hundred meters before depositing into a non-target area causing damage on the host crop. Some extremely fine droplets never deposit on anything. These airborne droplets may evaporate in the atmosphere and travel for even greater distances. Zhu et al. (1994) have shown that for typical applications with boom type sprayers, droplets of 100 μm or less often drift out of the intended swath, and 50 μm or less diameter droplets, completely evaporate before reaching the target. Majewski et al. (2000) conducted a study of the occurrence of pesticides in rain at paired agricultural and urban sites in three geographically different regions along the Mississippi River Valley. Their study showed that “a variety of pesticides was detected in every rain sample collected during April through September 1995”. This situation is likely to cause long-term problems for both the environment, and the health of human and animals living in the area.

Potential Solutions:

Several possible solutions have been suggested to combat spray drift (Ozkan, 2000). Most of these solutions are centered around three major concepts: 1) not spraying at all when the weather conditions are not favorable, 2) reducing the volume of spray contained in small droplets, 3) altering the flight paths of small spray droplets by mechanical means to increase efficiency of deposition on the target.

Although most operators are aware of spray drift and the problems associated with it, treatment of a field during unfavorable weather conditions may be unavoidable when the pest population is at a level such that further delay in spraying may result in total crop loss. Realizing this fact, most researchers and equipment manufacturers have been focusing their attention to developing equipment to reduce drift. Some manufacturers have introduced “low-drift” nozzles that reduce the volume of spray contained in small droplets. Other companies have developed chemical additives called “drift retardants” to achieve the same goal: reducing the volume of spray contained in small droplets.

H.E. Ozkan. “Current Status and Future Trends in Pesticide Application Technology”. International Commission of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, Section V. Conference “Technology and Management to Increase the Efficiency in Sustainable Agricultural Systems”, Rosario, Argentina, 1-4 September 2009.

Several recent developments have been aimed at modifying existing equipment to increase deposition efficiency of the more effective small droplets while reducing the potential for drift. In general, this has been accomplished by using either air-assist technology or some kind of shield or shroud to overcome the drift-producing air currents and turbulence that occur near the nozzle during spraying.

2.5 Too much pesticide is used

The targets being sprayed are seldom uniform in size (height and width), density of canopy, spacing between plants, surface characteristics, etc. In spite of this fact, sprayers used today are operated at a constant rate. For example, canopies of the tree fruit and nursery crops often are not uniform. There are often gaps in the canopy near the tops of trees. Sometimes trees die and are replaced with much smaller trees, which increases the irregularity of the canopy. As a result, we lose pesticide that is sprayed through these gaps in the tree canopy.

Potential Solutions:

During the last decade, several companies have developed canopy sensors that are aligned with a nozzle or group of nozzles. If these sensors detect canopy, the nozzle(s) are turned on, if no canopy is detected, the nozzle(s) are turned off. Several types of sensors have been used, including infrared, ultrasonic, and imaging systems that detect the color of chlorophyll in leaves.

3. CONCLUSIONS

Pesticides will continue to play a key role in meeting the food demands of the rapidly increasing world population. However, pesticides, if not applied properly may cause both short and long-term problems such as health and safety of the applicator, and environmental pollution. Fortunately, technologies and procedures outlined briefly in this publication have the potential to reduce such problems to minimum. This will largely dependent on education of pesticide applicators and their willingness to adopt the technologies mentioned in this paper.

4. REFERENCES

- Alawanja, M. 2008. Agricultural health study. <http://www.aghealth.org>.
- Barbash, J.E., and E.A. Pesek.1996. Pesticides in ground water: Distribution, trends, and governing factors, in Gilliom, R.J., ed., *Pesticides in the hydrologic system (v.2)*: Lewis Publishing, p. 590.
- Barbash, J.E., G.P. Thelin, D.W. Kolpin and R.J. Gilliom, 1999. Distribution of major herbicides in ground water of the United States: U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 98-4245.
- Gladen, B.C., D. P. Sandler, S.H. Zahm, F. Kamel, A.S. Rowland, and M.C.R. Alawanja, 1998. Exposure opportunities of families of farmer pesticide applicators. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 34: 581-587.

H.E. Ozkan. "Current Status and Future Trends in Pesticide Application Technology". International Commission of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, Section V. Conference "Technology and Management to Increase the Efficiency in Sustainable Agricultural Systems", Rosario, Argentina, 1-4 September 2009.

- Hoppin J.A., D.M. Umbach, G.C. Kullman, P.K. Henneberger, S.J. London, M.C.R. Alavanja and D.P. Sandler. 2007. Pesticides and other agricultural factors associated with self – reported farmer’s lung among farm residents in the Agricultural Health Study. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 64: 334-341.
- Hunt J.W., B.S. Anderson, B.M. Phillips, R.S. Tjeerdema, N. Richard, V. Connor, K. Worchester, M. Angelo, A. Bern, B. Fulfrost and D. Mulvaney. 2006. Spatial relationship between water quality and pesticide application rates in agricultural watersheds. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 121: 245-262.
- Kamel, F., L.S. Engel, B.C. Gladen, M.C.R. Alavanja and D.P. Sandler. 2007. Neurological symptoms in licensed pesticide applicators in the Agricultural Health Study. *Human and Experimental Toxicology* 26:243-250.
- Kamel F, C.M. Tanner, D.M. Umbach, J.A. Hoppin, M.C. Alavanja A. Blair, K. Comyns, S.M. Goldman, M. Korell, J.W. Langston, G.W. Ross and D.P. Sandler. Pesticide exposure and self-reported Parkinson’s disease in the Agricultural Health Study. 2006. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 165(4):364-374.
- Lampman, W. 1995. Susceptibility of groundwater to pesticide and nitrate contamination in predisposed areas of southwestern Ontario. *Water Qual. Res. Jour. Canada* 30: 443-468.
- Landers, A.J., A. Agnello and W. Shayya. 2006. The development of a fixed spraying system for high-density apples. *ASABE Paper No: 061122* . American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, St. Joseph, MI 49085, USA.
- Lee, W.J., M.C.R. Alavanja, J.A. Hoppin, J.A. Rusiecki, F. Kamel, A. Blair and D.P. Sandler. 2007. Mortality among pesticide applicators exposed to Chloropyrifos in the Agricultural Health Study. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 115(4): 528-534.
- Majewski M.S., W.T. Foreman and D.A. Goolsby. 2000. Pesticides in the atmosphere of the Mississippi River Valley, part I- rain. *The Science of the Total Environment* 248: 201-212
- Martinez-Haro, M., J. Vinuela and R. Mateo. 2007. Exposure of birds to cholinesterase-inhibiting pesticides following a forest application for tick control. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology* 23:347-349.
- Oerke, E.-C., H.-W. Dehne, F. Schönbeck and A. Weber. 1994. *Crop Production and Crop Protection- Estimated Losses in Major Food and Cash Crops*. Elsevier Publications, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Ozkan, H.E. 2000. Reducing spray drift. *Ohio State University Extension Publication 816-00*, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH. <http://ohioline.osu.edu/b816/index.html>

H.E. Ozkan. “Current Status and Future Trends in Pesticide Application Technology”. International Commission of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, Section V. Conference “Technology and Management to Increase the Efficiency in Sustainable Agricultural Systems”, Rosario, Argentina, 1-4 September 2009.

- Veenhuizen, M. and, H.E. Ozkan. 1993. On-Farm Agrichemical Mixing/Loading Pad. *Ohio State University Extension Publication AEX-522-93*, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH. <http://ohioline.osu.edu/aex-fact/0522.html>
- US-EPA. 1992. *National Pesticide Survey: Update and summary of Phase II results*. Office of Water & Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, United States Environmental Protection Agency Report # EPA570/9-91-021, Washington DC.
- Zhu, H., D.L. Reichard, R.D. Fox, R.D. Brazee and H.E. Ozkan. 1994. Simulation of drift of discrete sizes of water droplets from field sprayers. *Transactions of the ASAE* 37(5):1401-1407.
- Zhu, H., R.C. Derksen, H. Guler, C.R. Krause and H.E. Ozkan. 2006. Foliar deposition and off-target loss with different spray techniques in nursery applications. *Transactions of the ASABE* 49(2):325-334.